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## Motivation



- Collision detection
- Geometric Algorithm
ex) GJK algorithm time and space consuming
- Data-driven Algorithm
ex) configuration-based, point cloud - based scalability issuses


## Motivation

## GraphDistNet

: Graph neural networks-based collision distance estimator for trajectory optimization


- Estimated distance
- Calculating collision gradient


KAIST

## Background

## - Trajectory optimization

Collision free


## Background

- Graph Neural Network


$$
\begin{aligned}
G & =(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{X}) \\
& + \text { edge features } \mathbf{x}_{i j}=h_{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Aggregation method
: message passing

1) Each node $v_{i}$ computes a message $\phi$
2) Each node aggregates the messages $\square$ using sum or average operations
3) Each node updates the node feature $\gamma$ using aggregated message and current

$$
\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(k+1)}=\gamma\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(k)}, \square_{j:(i, j) \in \mathcal{E}} \phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(k)}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{(k)}, \mathbf{x}_{i j}^{(k)}\right)\right)
$$

## Method

## GraphDistNet

: Graph neural networks-based collision distance estimator for trajectory optimization


## Method : GraphDistNet



## 1. Initial Graph Construction

2. Graph Update via Attention-based Message Passing
3. Collision-distance and gradient Estimation

## Method

## 1. Initial Graph Construction




Randomly select $j_{c}$
$j_{c}$ : informative node

## Method

## 2. Graph Update via Attention-based Message Passing

(b) Sampling-based bipartite graph


Local edge Relative edge

Update graph: $\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{(\mathbf{1})}, \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{(\mathbf{2})}, \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{(\mathbf{3})} \ldots \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{u}}^{(\boldsymbol{k})}$
$\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(k+1)}=\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{r o}(i) \cup\{i\}} h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{k}}^{(k)}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i j, l o c}^{(k)}, \mathbf{x}_{i j_{c}, r e l}^{(k)}\right)$
$h_{\theta_{k}}^{(k)}:$ MLP-based encoder

* Node feature $x_{i}$ : cartesian coordinate.
* Edge feature $\quad \mathbf{x}_{i j}^{(k)}= \begin{cases}\left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(0)}-\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(0)}\right) & \text { if } k=0, \\ \left(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(0)}-\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(0)} \| \mathbf{x}_{j}^{(k)}-\mathbf{x}_{i}^{(k)}\right) & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases}$


## Method

After each convolution, $\boldsymbol{G}_{u}^{(\mathbf{1})}, \boldsymbol{G}_{u}^{(\mathbf{2})}, \boldsymbol{G}_{u}^{(\mathbf{3})} \ldots$.
Reselect most informative $j_{c}$ by introducing attention-based selection method.

Attention score


Vector of trainable parameter in attention mechanism

Attention weights

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{i_{r}}^{(k)} & =\frac{\exp \left(\mathbf{a}^{(k) T} \mathbf{x}_{i_{r}}^{(k)}\right)}{\sum_{j_{r}} \exp \left(\mathbf{a}^{(k) T} \mathbf{x}_{j_{r}}^{(k)}\right)} \\
\alpha_{i_{o}}^{(k)} & =\frac{\exp \left(\mathbf{a}^{(k) T} \mathbf{x}_{i_{o}}^{(k)}\right)}{\sum_{j_{o}} \exp \left(\mathbf{a}^{(k) T} \mathbf{x}_{j_{o}}^{(k)}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Method

## 3. Collision-distance and gradient Estimation



Attention weighted feature

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{y}_{r}^{(k)}=f_{L R}\left(\sum_{i_{r}} \alpha_{i_{r}} \mathbf{x}_{i_{r}}^{(k)}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{h}}, \\
\mathbf{y}_{o}^{(k)}=f_{L R}\left(\sum_{i_{o}} \alpha_{i_{o}} \mathbf{x}_{i_{o}}^{(k)}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{h}}, \\
\text { Leacky-ReLU }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\hat{d}=\operatorname{MLP}(\underbrace{\int_{i=1}^{d_{h}} \max _{k} \mathbf{y}_{r}^{k}(i)}_{\mathbf{y}_{f, r}} \underbrace{\int_{i=1}^{d_{h}} \max _{k} \mathbf{y}_{o}^{k}(i)}_{\mathbf{y}_{f, o}}),
$$

## Evaluation

## - We can use this as ...

- Binary Collision Checker

Is collision $?= \begin{cases}\text { True } & \text { if } \hat{d} \leq d_{\text {margin }}, \\ \text { False } & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}$

- Collision-gradient estimator
$\partial G r a p h D i s t N e t(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}$
-> Gradient-based trajectory optimization


## Evaluation

## - environments.

- 2-DOF \& 7-DOF
- Fixed Obstacles \& Random Obstacles
- Shape of obstacle
- Baselines.
- DiffCo
- ClearanceNet
- FCL use as ground truth

Random Obstacles
(b)
(d)
(e)


MAE : mean absolute error

## Evaluation

## AUC : area under the ROC

(receiver operating characteristic)

## ACD: cosine distances of <br> estimated gradient fields.

| Env. | Method | Distance Regression \& Classification |  |  | Gradient Est. <br> ACD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Elapsed <br> Time (s) | MAE <br> with $p$-value | AUC |  |
| Fig. 5 <br> (a) | FCL | 1.0653 | - | - | - |
|  | DiffCo | 0.0048 | N/A | 1.0000 | 0.3575 |
|  | ClearanceNet | 0.0009 | 0.0082 | 1.0000 | 0.0255 |
|  | GraphDistNet | 0.0115 | $0.0031\}^{6 \times 10^{-20}}$ | 1.0000 | 0.0054 |
| Fig. 5 <br> (b) | FCL | 1.1822 | - | - | - |
|  | DiffCo (w/o active learning) | 0.0054 | N/A | 0.5031 | 1.0270 |
|  | DiffCo (w/ active learning) | 2058.2 | N/A | 0.9948 | 0.4221 |
|  | ClearanceNet | 0.0009 | $0.1732\}$ | 0.9986 | 0.2853 |
|  | GraphDistNet | 0.0115 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 9 0}\} 2 \times 10^{-12}$ | 0.9999 | 0.1748 |
| Fig. 5 <br> (c) | FCL | 3.1573 | - | - | - |
|  | DiffCo | 0.0740 | N/A | 0.9843 | 0.3202 |
|  | ClearanceNet | 0.0012 | 0.2360 \} ${ }^{-60}$ | 0.9111 | 0.5933 |
|  | GraphDistNet | 0.0574 | $0.0253\}{ }^{6 \times 10^{-60}}$ | 0.9990 | 0.1458 |
| Fig. 5 <br> (d) | FCL | 4.4467 | - | - | - |
|  | DiffCo (w/o active learning) | 0.0742 | N/A | 0.5239 | 0.9450 |
|  | DiffCo (w/ active learning) | 75129.5 | N/A | 0.9798 | 0.3968 |
|  | ClearanceNet* | 0.0012 | $0.7725\}_{1 \times 10^{-10}}$ | 0.5691 | 0.9491 |
|  | GraphDistNet | 0.0546 | $0.1614\} 1 \times 10^{-10}$ | 0.9842 | 0.4152 |
| Fig. 5 <br> (e) | FCL | 6.8432 | - | - | - |
|  | DiffCo (w/o active learning) | 0.0350 | N/A | 0.5200 | 0.9612 |
|  | DiffCo (w/ active learning) | 0 (Failure: Out of Memory) |  |  |  |
|  | ClearanceNet* | 0.0011 | $0.7479\}$ | 0.8882 | 0.9767 |
|  | GraphDistNet | 0.1251 | $0.2446\}^{3 \times 10^{-}}$ | 0.9925 | 0.8421 |



## Evaluation

## - Gradient fields in 2-DoF



## Evaluation

## - Trajectory Optimization

|  | Env. | Collision <br> Checker | Avg. Elapsed <br> Time (s) | Avg. Path <br> Cost | Success <br> Rate (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simple env | Fig. 5 | DiffCo | 0.9304 | $\mathbf{2 3 . 7 6 5 7}$ | 0.95 |
|  | (b) | ClearanceNet | 1.7300 | 24.9018 | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| GraphDistNet | 1.9522 | 24.4297 | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |  |  |
| Complex env | Fig. 5 | DiffCo | 28.8247 | 99.0850 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 5}$ |
|  | (d) | ClearanceNet | 10.9816 | 72.3153 | 0.4 |
|  |  | GraphDistNet | 16.2682 | 65.0297 | 0.9 |
|  | Fig. 1 | Diffo | 0.6364 | $3.9 \times 10^{5}$ | 0.4 |
|  |  | GraphDistNet | 1.9603 | $5.5 \times 10^{5}$ | 0.3 |
|  |  |  | 3.2982 | $9.0 \times 10^{5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ |

## Evaluation

## - Demonstration



## Conclusion

- Contribution
- graph-based collision-distance estimation network, that precisely regresses the collision distance between objects.
- accurate gradients and batch computation, improving trajectory optimization
- robust to various to various environmental changes and unseen environments


## Q\&A

- Thank you for listening ©


## Quiz

## Q1. List the sequence of GraphDistNet

a ) Graph updating via message passing
b) Graph construction with $j_{0}$
c ) Distance regression

## Q2. Which is NOT possible with GraphDistNet?

a ) estimating collision distance
b ) generating trajectory
c ) calculating gradient of distance

