CS688: Web-Scale Image Retrieval Inverted Index

Sung-Eui Yoon (윤성의)

Course URL: <u>http://sgvr.kaist.ac.kr/~sungeui/IR</u>



Class Objectives

- Discuss re-ranking for achieving higher accuracy
 - Spatial verification
 - Query expansion
- Understand approximate nearest neighbor search
 - Inverted index and inverted multi-index
- At the last class:
 - Bag-of-visual-Words (BoW) models
 - CNN w/ triplet loss (ranking loss)



Problems of BoW Model

- No spatial relationship between words
- How can we perform segmentation and localization?



Ack.: Fei-Fei Li



Post-Processing or Reranking



Post-Processing

Geometric verification

• RANSAC



Matching w/o spatial matching

(Ack: Edward Johns et al.)

Query expansion



Geometric Verification using RANSAC

Repeat N times:

- Randomly choose 4 matching pairs
- Estimate transformation
 - Assume a particular transformation (Homography)
- Predict remaining points and count "inliers"



Homography

- Transformation, H, between two planes
 - 8 DoF due to normalization to 1

$$s \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = H \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$







Pattern matching

- Drones surveying city
 - Identify a particular car









Image Retrieval with Spatially Constrained Similarity Measure





[Xiaohui Shen, Zhe Lin, Jon Brandt, Shai Avidan and Ying Wu, CVPR 2012]



Learning to Find Good Correspondences, CVPR 18

- Given two sets of input features (e.g., SIFTs), return a prob. of being inliers for each feature
 - Adopt the classification approach being inlier or not
 - Consider the relative motion between two images for the loss function





(a) RANSAC

(b) Our approach



Query Expansion [Chum et al. 07]



Original query

Top 4 images

Expanded results that were not identified by the original query

Efficient Diffusion on Region Manifolds, CVPR 17 & 18

- Identify related images by the diffusion process, i.e., random walks
 - Perform random walks based on the similarity between a pair of images
- Utilize k-Nearest Neighbor (NNs) of the query images





Inverted File or Index for Efficient Search

 For each word, list images containing the word feature space C_{2} C_{K} C_1 **Inverted File** T (shortlist size) C_3 L_1 **Near cluster** L_k search **Shortlist** R

Re-ranking Top R elements according to estimated distance

Inverted Index

Construction time:

- Generate a codebook by quantization
 - e.g. k-means clustering



Figure from Lempitsky's slides

- Build an inverted index
 - Quantize each descriptor into the closest word
 - Organize desc. IDs in terms of words



Inverted Index

Query time:

- Given a query,
 - Find its K closest words
 - Retrieve all the data in the K lists corresponding to the words
- Large K
 - Low quantization distortion
 - Expensive to find kNN words



The inverted index



Approximate Nearest Neighbor (ANN) Search

• For large K

- Takes time to find clusters given the query
- Use those ANN techniques for efficiently finding near clusters

ANN search techniques

- kd-trees: hierarchical approaches for lowdimensional problems
- Hashing for high dimensional problems; will be discussed later with binary code embedding
- Quantization (k-means cluster and product quantization)



kd-tree Example



Many good implementations (e.g., vl-feat)



Querying the inverted index



Query:



- Have to consider several words for best accuracy
- Want to use as big codebook as possible

conflict

 Want to spend as little time as possible for matching to codebooks

Ack.: Lempitsky

Inverted Multi-Index [Babenko and Lempitsky, CVPR 2012]

• Product quantization for indexing

- Main advantage:
 - For the same K, much finer subdivision
 - Very efficient in finding kNN codewords



Ack.: Lempitsky

Product quantization



Split vector into correlated subvectors
use separate small codebook for each chunk

Quantization vs. Product quantization:

For a budget of 4 bytes per descriptor:

- 1. Use a single codebook with 1 billion codewords or
- 2. Use 4 different codebooks with 256 codewords each



many minutes	128GB
< 1 millisecond	32KB

Performance comparison on 1 B SIFT descriptors



Time increase: 1.4 msec -> 2.2 msec on a single core (with BLAS instructions)

Ack.: Lempitsky

Retrieval examples



Ack.: Lempitsky

Scalability

• Issues with billions of images?

- Searching speed \rightarrow inverted index
- Accuracy → larger codebooks, spatial verification, expansion, features
- Memory → compact representations
- Easy to use?
- Applications?
- A new aspect?



Class Objectives were:

- Discuss re-ranking for achieving higher accuracy
 - Spatial verification
 - Query expansion
- Understand approximate nearest neighbor search
 - Inverted index
 - Inverted multi-index



Next Time...

• Hashing techniques



Homework for Every Class

- Go over the next lecture slides
- Come up with one question on what we have discussed today
 - 1 for typical questions (that were answered in the class)
 - 2 for questions with thoughts or that surprised me
- Write questions 3 times



Figs



Inverted Index



Inverted index

